Results of secondary ciliary sulcus fixated posterior chamber intraocular lenses in paediatric aphakia
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Abstract

Introduction: Primary Intraocular lens implantation is the most preferred method of paediatric cataract management. However there is little literature on secondary correction of aphakia with IOL implantation. Objective: The objective of this study was to evaluate the safety and efficacy of ciliary sulcus implantation of posterior chamber IOLs in cases of paediatric aphakia. Materials and Methods: The charts of 33 patients (52 eyes) ranging from 2-15 years of age, who underwent secondary implantation of PCIOL in the ciliary sulcus between 2016-17 were studied. Those cases that had sufficient capsular support after primary cataract extraction were included. Demographic data was obtained and correlated with the final outcomes in terms of refractive error, post op complications and visual outcome. Results: The final mean visual acuity in log MAR was 0.64±0.42. 43% of eyes showed good visual acuity 0.4-0.6 log MAR. After surgery 85% patients with amblyopia showed good visual good response to occlusion therapy. Patients with aphakia operated for traumatic cataract achieved better visual acuity than those with congenital cataract (0.31±0.36 and 0.64±0.42 respectively). Mean difference of -0.06D was observed between targeted refraction and actual refraction. Conclusions: Patients who had early primary cataract surgery showed better final visual acuity. Our observed complication rate showed that this method of aphakia correction is safe and effective.
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Introduction

The most challenging aspect of paediatric cataracts is the visual rehabilitation of the child after surgery rather than the surgery itself. If it is not properly taken care of, an excellently performed cataract extraction may also have a poor outcome as a result of amblyopia, loss of binocularity, development of squint, or formation of a posterior capsular opacification.

Spectacles, contact lenses and posterior chamber IOLs are the various methods of correction of post-operative aphakia, each having their own merits and demerits. Aphakic spectacles have advantages of being cheap and safe but can result in optical problems like induced magnification, visual field restriction and prismatic effect and finally poor compliance, apart from being cosmetically unacceptable. Contact lens can help in avoiding these problems but difficulty in fitting in small children and potential risk of microbial keratitis makes them a less suitable alternative. Intraocular lens (IOL) implantation in the pediatric cases is now a generally accepted alternative form of optical correction to contact lenses and spectacles [1]. Secondary IOL has revolutionized the visual rehabilitation of paediatric aphakia as IOL provides full time correction and the optical component closely simulates the correcting capabilities of crystalline lens.

The technical ease and success of secondary IOL implantation depends on capsular support that was left behind at the time of primary cataract surgery. Although the ideal site for IOL implantation is in the bag [2], the ciliary sulcus has been the common site of implantation for many years [3-5]. Certain situations such as secondary IOL implantation, posterior capsular rupture and zonular dialysis make sulcus implantation the preferred option [6]. Awad et al [7] performed an ultrasound biomicroscopy (UBM) on the ciliary sulcus...
in 10 children after unilateral secondary IOL implantation and demonstrated that the structure of the sulcus in the implanted eye appeared similar to the sulcus of the contralateral normal eye. No gross haptic erosion into the sclera, ciliary body, or sulcus was noted. There is sufficient data on the safety profile and success of sulcus fixated IOL in adults [8, 9], literature on the same in paediatric population is limited. The present study aimed to answer these questions concerning the safety and efficacy of sulcus fixated posterior chamber IOLs as a means of visual rehabilitation for paediatric aphakia.

# Subjects and Methods

## Study setting
Department of paediatric ophthalmology, Gomabai Nethralaya, Neemuch

## Duration
August 2016-July 2017

## Ethical considerations & permission
The study followed the tenets of the declaration of Helsinki, was approved by the institutional review board of the center, and was in compliance with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act.

## Study type
Retrospective case review of hospital records

## Sampling method
Simple random sampling

## Sample size
Calculated using Cochran’s formula included 52 eyes of 33 patients

## Inclusion criteria
1. Aphakic children between ages of 2-15 years
2. All the cases of congenital, developmental, traumatic cataract that were left aphakic at the time of primary surgery
3. Those cases that had sufficient capsular support for sulcus implantation of IOL

## Exclusion criteria
1. Eyes that received sclera fixated IOL
2. Uveitic and glaucomatous eyes

## Data collection procedure
Demographic and preoperative data were obtained, including age at primary cataract surgery and secondary IOL implantation and cataract etiology. All patients underwent comprehensive ophthalmic examination including slit-lamp examination, corneal diameter, intraocular pressure, pachymetry and axial length measurement. In younger uncooperative patients, keratometry and axial length measurements were performed under general anesthesia immediately before surgery; in older patients the measurements were taken in the out-patient department. Biometry of both eyes was performed in the same session; however, if the second eye surgery was performed more than 1 month later then biometry measurements were repeated.

All biometry measures were obtained by the surgeon; when significant variation between the eyes was found, an independent measure was taken and confirmed by a second surgeon. IOL power was calculated with the SRK II formula. Target refractions varied according to the age at surgery, with residual hyperopic error desired in younger patients or with consideration to the refractive status of the fellow eye.

Surgery was performed by a single surgeon via a standardized technique. In brief, a superior corneoscleral tunnel was made for IOL insertion, in addition to paracentesis at approximately the 10-o’clock and 2-o’clock positions. Anterior chamber was formed with sodium hyaluronate (Healon). In cases with adhesions between posterior surface of iris and posterior capsule, synechiolysis was done. In cases where primary posterior capsulorrhexis became small due to re-proliferated lens material and capsular fibrosis, enlargement of primary posterior capsulorrhexis was done.

Then three piece 6 mm acrylic optic with PMMA haptic IOL (Sensar AR40e, AMO) was implanted in ciliary sulcus. Anterior chamber was formed with BSS. All ports were sutured with 10-0 nylon suture. For all patients, a subconjunctival injection of Dexamethasone with Gentamycin was given at the end of surgery, and topical steroids were prescribed in tapering dose for 1 month.

The main outcome measures were post-operative complications, visual outcome, and refractive error. The occurrence of complications, including corneal edema, increased postoperative inflammation, glaucoma, retinal detachment, and IOL decenteration, was noted. If the intraocular pressure (IOP) was >25 mm Hg and ocular structural changes- such as increased optic nerve cupping or myopic refractive shift-occurred after the early postoperative period, then the patient was considered to have glaucoma.

IOL decenteration was recorded if the edge of the IOL optic could be visualized through the undilated pupil or if the IOL/ capsular bag complex was seen to be decentered. Visual outcome was assessed by changes in lines of best-corrected visual acuity, which was measured with correction in the early postoperative
period. Visual outcomes were compared relative to age and between congenital and traumatic aphakia. Retinoscopy was used to determine postoperative refraction, with refinement by manifest refraction whenever possible. This measure, obtained at 4 weeks postoperatively, was converted into spherical equivalent (sphere \( \pm \) cylinder). The surgeon’s targeted refraction was recorded and spectacle correction with bifocal add for near vision was given. Whenever required amblyopia therapy was given.

Results

A total of 52 eyes of 33 patients who underwent secondary IOL implantation were evaluated. Unilateral surgery was performed in 14 patients. 40 eyes had congenital cataract and 12 eyes traumatic cataract (Figure 1) Out of 12 eyes, 9 eyes (75%) had undergone corneoscleral laceration repair at the time of primary cataract surgery. In 3 eyes self-sealed corneal tear was present. Injury with pencil tip was most common mode of injury. Mean age at primary surgery was 7.3 months (range 0.87 to 18.3 months, Table 1) in congenital aphakia group and 83.8 months (range 32-171 months) in traumatic aphakia group. Mean age at secondary surgery was 43.6 months (range 19.8 to 98.4 months) in congenital aphakia group and 89.2 months (range 40 to 198 months) in traumatic aphakia group. Mean interval between primary cataract extraction and secondary IOL surgery was 36.3 months (range 12.5 to 91 months) in congenital aphakia and 5.7 months (range 1.2 to 26.5 months) in traumatic aphakia group (Table 1). Although patients were advised early secondary IOL surgery, mostly after 2 years of age, delay between primary cataract and secondary IOL surgery reflects delay in patients presenting for second surgery and probably traditional thinking of parents. Traumatic aphakic patients had less delay between primary cataract and secondary IOL surgery. Mean follow up was 14.2 months (range 4.2 months to 53.9 months). (Table 3).

Data Analysis: Data was analysed using the SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) software. Prediction error (PE) was calculated for each eye as predicted refraction minus actual refraction; absolute PE was calculated for each eye as PE5 (predicted refraction) – (actual refraction). The chi-square test was used to study the difference in final visual outcome in relation to the different variables. Fischer’s exact test was used when the count in any of the cells was less than 5.
### Table-1: Baseline characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Traumatic</th>
<th>Congenital</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in months at primary surgery mean (range)</td>
<td>83.8 (32-171)</td>
<td>7.3 (0.87-18.3)</td>
<td>25 (0.87-171)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in months at secondary surgery mean (range)</td>
<td>89.2 (40-198)</td>
<td>43.6 (19.9-98.4)</td>
<td>54.15 (19.9-198)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration in months between primary and secondary surgery mean (range)</td>
<td>5.7 (1.2-26.5)</td>
<td>36.3 (12.5-91)</td>
<td>29.2 (1.2-91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal Diameter in mm mean (range)</td>
<td>11.4 (10.5-12)</td>
<td>11 (10-12.5)</td>
<td>11 (10-12.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table-2: Baseline Pre-operative data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Traumatic</th>
<th>Congenital</th>
<th>Total eyes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IOP in mmHg mean (range)</td>
<td>13.9 (10-18)</td>
<td>12.5 (8-20)</td>
<td>12.8 (8-20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial length in mm mean (range)</td>
<td>23 (22-25.6)</td>
<td>21.6 (19.1-26)</td>
<td>21.9 (19.1-26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pachymetry in µm mean (range)</td>
<td>533 (501-580)</td>
<td>528 (462-688)</td>
<td>529 (462-688)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratometry in D mean (range)</td>
<td>88.5 (81.9-94.4)</td>
<td>86.05 (78-94)</td>
<td>87.9 (78.2-94.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOL power in D mean (range)</td>
<td>20.9 (16.5-23.5)</td>
<td>22.1 (12-30)</td>
<td>21.8 (12-30)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table-3: Mean duration of follow-up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Traumatic</th>
<th>Congenital</th>
<th>Total patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mean (range) months</td>
<td>14.2 (4.2-53-9)</td>
<td>17.2 (4.2-41)</td>
<td>13.2 (4.3-53.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table-4: Post operative Spherical Equivalent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spherical equivalent</th>
<th>Total eyes Mean±SD (range) dioptre</th>
<th>Traumatic aphakia Mean±SD (range) dioptre</th>
<th>Congenital aphakia Mean±SD (range) dioptre</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative</td>
<td>16.2±2.8 (10-21.5)</td>
<td>15.3±2.6(11.5-20)</td>
<td>16.5±2.9 (10-21.2)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First follow up</td>
<td>2.0.3±2.3 (-0.2-+7)</td>
<td>1.68±2.5(-1.5-+7.0)</td>
<td>2.1 (-2.0-+4.0)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last follow up</td>
<td>1.3±2.3 (-6.5-+5)</td>
<td>0.7±3.2 (-6.5-+5)</td>
<td>1.47 (-4.0-+4.0)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table-5: Prediction error.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total eyes Mean±SD</th>
<th>Traumatic aphakia Mean±SD</th>
<th>Congenital Aphakia</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Predicted refraction</td>
<td>+2.09±1.14 D</td>
<td>+1.08±1.06</td>
<td>+2.4±0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute prediction Error</td>
<td>-0.06±2.17</td>
<td>-0.60±2.32</td>
<td>+0.26±2.11</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table-6: Final visual outcome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Visual acuity LOG MAR Mean±SD</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total eyes</td>
<td>0.64±0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic</td>
<td>0.31±0.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital</td>
<td>0.76±0.38</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Log MAR – Minimum angle of resolution

Table-7: Visual outcome (Traumatic versus Congenital Aphakia).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BCVA (LOG MAR)</th>
<th>Traumatic No. (%)</th>
<th>Congenital No (%)</th>
<th>Total eyes No (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 0.3</td>
<td>9(20.4)</td>
<td>3(6.8)</td>
<td>12(27.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4-0.6</td>
<td>2(4.5)</td>
<td>17(38.6)</td>
<td>19(43.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7-0.9</td>
<td>5(11.3)</td>
<td>5(11.3)</td>
<td>5(11.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1</td>
<td>1(2.2)</td>
<td>7(16)</td>
<td>8(18.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Eyes</td>
<td>12 (27.2)</td>
<td>32 (72.7)</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-8: Post–operative complications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>No. of eyes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Moderate post OP INF</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>IOL DEC</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Severe PO INF (Fibrin)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Visual axis opacification</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Corneal oedema</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Glaucoma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Retinal detachment</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post op Inf- post-operative intrusions, IOL Dec-decenteration, PO Inf- Post operative infection

Overall majority of patients showed remarkable improvement in visual acuity (Table 4-7). Amblyopia was thought to be present in 20 patients and 17 of these patients showed good compliance with occlusion therapy after implantation surgery, resulting in improvement in visual acuity. Visual acuity obtained were correlated with the age of patient at time of primary surgery. Children who had early primary cataract surgery showed better final visual acuity, although difference was not statistically significant in the present study (p value 0.06). Comparison of visual outcome in traumatic versus congenital aphakia was made (Table 6). More patients with traumatic aphakia achieved 20/40 or better vision than did patients with congenital aphakia. Refractive result (in spherical equivalent) was calculated at first and last follow up visit (Table 4).

A comparison of the obtained refractive result with the refractive goal of the surgeon was made at 4 months after surgery, assuming that 4 months postoperatively the surgical wound would be stable. Mean targeted refraction was +2.09D. Mean difference of -0.06D between targeted and actual refraction was observed (Table 5).

There were few patients in whom major deviations from goal occurred, of upto -5.00D. Comparison of pre-op and post – op pachymetry and intra-ocular pressure was made, the difference was not statistically significant.
possible because of advances in IOL surgery that patients was 54.15 months at the time of primary surgery. Glaucoma developed in 1 case, in which IOP normalization was achieved with topical anti-glaucoma medication. IOL decentration was seen in 1 case but it was not significant enough to hamper functional vision. Retinal detachment was seen in 1 case and despite retinal detachment surgery visual outcome was poor.

Less occurrence of visual axis opacification highlights the importance of sufficient primary posterior capsulorrhexis with anterior vitrectomy. Glaucoma developed in 1 case, in which IOP normalization was achieved with topical anti-glaucoma medication. IOL decentration was seen in 1 case but it was not significant enough to hamper functional vision. Retinal detachment was seen in 1 case and despite retinal detachment surgery visual outcome was poor.

**Discussion**

IOL implantation has become the most accepted mode of refractive correction after cataract extraction in children older than 1 year of age [9-12]. This has been possible because of advances in IOL surgery that include availability of high viscosity viscoelastics [13] improved IOL design [14] and posterior scleral beveled incision [15] that significantly reduces the incidence of complications that were encountered with the early attempts at IOL implantation in children. Autrata [16] et al reported better visual outcomes in children who had undergone IOL implantation than in those managed with contact lens.

If 20/80 or better is accepted as a definition of good visual acuity [17] most of the patients in the present study did well. Visual acuity in 70% of our patients was 20/80 (≤ 0.6 LOGMAR) or better. Awad et al [7] reported similar findings in their study. Shenoy [18] et al reported 20/40 vision in 54% eyes. Beller [19] and coauthors and Gregg and Parks [20] showed that the earlier the surgical treatment, the better the functional results. In the present study didn’t find any significant correlation between the age at primary surgery and visual outcome in congenital cataract (p=0.06). The mean age at the time of secondary IOL implantation in congenital aphakia was 43.2 months.

In the present study, children who had traumatic cataracts were also included and it is well established that visual acuity improvement is good in this group [21], unless traumatic cataract is accompanied by major posterior segment complications. There was a statistically significant difference in final visual acuity obtained after the IOL implantation surgery when traumatic versus nontraumatic aphakia was compared (p=0.001). These findings compare favorably with those of a previously reported series [22].

Amblyopia was seen in 60% patients post operatively which responded well to occlusion therapy. Awad et al [7] reported that children less than 4 years are more likely to develop amblyopia, the mean age of our patients was 54.15 months at the time of primary surgery. Also since traumatic cases were included in this study, 9 out of 12 had corneal scars which led to pre op stimulus deprivation amblyopia. Such cases did not respond well to occlusion therapy. The ideal site for IOL implantation is in the bag, as it keeps the lens well centered and keeps it sequestered from irritants from iris tissue. Sulcus implantation theoretically increases the risk of raised post op intraocular pressure and inflammation. Previous studies have shown that sulcus fixated IOLs work better in aphakic children [7].

This is due to the fact that the IOL can be supported on the peripheral anterior capsule remanants if a primary posterior capsuleotomy was done during primary surgery. In the bag implantation in such cases can be difficult and fraught with complications.

Trivedi et al [2] compared the outcomes of secondary IOL implantation in children. They reported that none of the 29 eyes that were implanted with a PMMA sulcus fixated IOL developed decentration. Foldable single-piece IOLs are not recommended for sulcus fixation. Trivedi and colleagues [2] found that 4 eyes (28.5%) with sulcus-fixated foldable IOLs developed clinically significant decentration and required repositioning or exchange of IOL. Decentration of IOL may be as a result of external factors, such as trauma or eye rubbing, or maybe the result of internal factors, such as size disparity between an IOL and the site of fixation, scarring, synechiae formation, and capsular contraction (2, 23-25).

In the present study non foldable 3 piece IOL was used and IOL decentration was seen in only 1 case. Target refractions were variable, depending on the age of the patient at secondary IOL surgery and status of the fellow eye, but we found that we were able to achieve our postoperative refraction within a reasonable range. Our absolute mean PE was -0.06±2.17.

Nihalani et al [25] found mean PE of 0.9±0.9. Moore et al [26] reported a mean PE of 1.64D±1.58D. These studies included patients who underwent in the bag as well as sulcus fixation, while our patients had only sulcus fixation, which may explain the difference
observed. The variation may also be accounted for by the difference in age and clinical profile of the selected cases in the above mentioned studies as well as the use of different IOL power calculation formulae.

Finally, we evaluated the incidence of postoperative complications. Our measured complication rate was not higher than the previously reported complication rate. Glaucoma is the most common complication, having unclear pathophysiology. O’Keefe et al [27] have reported that posterior chamber IOL reduced the risk for post-op glaucoma.

Crinic and colleagues [28] found that 22% of eyes developed transient increase in IOP and 11% developed chronic glaucoma after foldable secondary IOL implantation. The prevalence of glaucoma after congenital cataract surgery has been reported to range from 0% to 32% [29-31]. In the present study postoperative glaucoma developed only in 1 (1.9%) eye.

Capsular opacification or visual axis opacification (VAO) is the second most common reported complication. Crinic et al [28] reported VAO in 9% of their sulcus positioned IOLs. KS Wood [32] and colleagues reported VAO in 5.4%. In the present study VAO was found in only 1 eye (1.9%).

Risk of retinal detachment is difficult to evaluate because of its late onset in most cases. Hiles and Watson [33] found retinal detachment in 6% of eyes. In the present study, 1 eye had retinal retinal detachment.

**Conclusion**

What was known before: 1. Aphakia during primary surgery is associated with fewer complications. 2. Previous studies have compared in-the-bag and sulcus implantations and reported the post-op complications, but have had short follow-up and selection bias towards sulcus implantation in the more complicated cases.

What this study adds: Long term follow up data on post op results and observed complications with sulcus implantation. Based on our results, this method seems to be the most suitable method for visual rehabilitation of aphakic children both after congenital cataract surgery and traumatic cataract extraction. Additionally the data suggest that this method is less fraught with complications, hence yielding better long term visual rehabilitation. The strength of this study is its longer follow up duration, and the consistency in surgical technique & biometric measurements which were performed by single individuals respectively.

The retrospective nature of the study was its main limitation, as was the younger age of the patients which hampered consistent visual acuity assessments. Further long term studies with larger number of subjects are needed to further corroborate our findings.
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