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Abstract

Introduction: Pterygium is a degenerative condition of subconjunctival tissues that proliferates as vascularized granulation tissue to invade the cornea. Treatment modalities may be medical or surgical. Objectives: The outcome studied in both groups in terms of operative time, postoperative symptoms, overall graft success. Material and Method: Prospective, randomized, comparative study conducted on 50 patients over a period of one year in the upgraded Department of Ophthalmology at GMC Jammu. Group I (25 eyes) underwent attachment of conjunctival autograft by 10-0 silk suture and group II (25 eyes) attachment done without sutures. Results: Mean operating time was less without sutures (p-value = 0.0001, highly significant), the severity of Post-operative symptoms was more with suture. Graft successfully attached in both groups. Conclusion: Present study concluded that both are effective methods but autograft without sutures associated with less operating time and less post-operative discomfort in terms of severity and duration
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Introduction

Pterygium is a degenerative condition of subconjunctival tissues that proliferates as vascularized granulation tissue to invade cornea, destroying bowman’s layer and superficial layers of stroma, the whole being covered by conjunctival epithelium. Derived from the Greek word that means “wing” in English, it denotes a peculiar fan-shaped structure, commonly affected population in tropical and subtropical areas. Various theories have been put forth from time to time regarding the etiology of pterygium. Various predisposing factors include – UV radiations, chronic inflammations, microtrauma due to pathological hairs on the caruncle or in the inner canthus and rarely due to concretions of meibomian glands, granulomas, meibomian cysts, and warts. Mackle propounded the hypothesis that pterygium is caused by localized discontinuity of precorneal tearfilm due to abnormal blinking reflexes. Various other hypotheses in the pathogenesis of pterygium are, type-I hypersensitivity reaction, T-cell mediated type-IV hypersensitivity reactions [1] accelerated fibroblast proliferation [2] chronic inflammation [1]

elastodysplasia and elastodystrophy [3], stem cell aplasia [4] p53 tumor suppressor gene overexpression in the epithelium of the pterygium coupled with the disruption of the normal process of apoptosis in the conjunctiva. However, the most widely accepted explanation implicates UV rays exposure as the major causative factor. Fuchs [5] stated the pathology of pterygium keeping in mind the degenerative basis of it. The main constituent is fibrillary connective tissue. Bowman's layer lying below the pterygium is destroyed and that is why cornea does not regain normal transparency after excision of pterygium.

Austin P (3) described a highly characteristic histological appearance of pterygium in which four features predominate.
1. Hyalination of sub-epithelial tissue of substantia propria
2. Diffuse or lobular collection of eosinophilic granular material and increased number of fibroblasts and other cells
3. An increased amount of thickened and tortuous fibers that stain strongly with elastin stains adjacent to or beneath the hyalinated region
4. Concretions within the hyalinated and granular areas that may show either eosinophilia or basophilia.
Clinically pterygium can be divided into four parts—cap, head, neck, and body. The head is triangular in shape which is firmly adherent to the cornea. The body is the fan-shaped expansion from the neck, consisting of epithelium, connective tissue, and blood vessels. The clinical appearance of pterygium differs according to stage and degree of activity of process that leads to its formation[6]. In the active stage, it is hyperemic with dilated and congested blood vessels with a halo of grayish-white opacity and looks thickened and opaque. In the atrophic stage, it is seen with the cicatized look and with decreased engorgement of blood vessels, having no halo of opacity. Generally, it is asymptomatic except for cosmetic blemish. However, as it advances it may encroach the pupillary area causing a decrease in visual acuity. Large blemish. However, as it advances it may encroach the pupillary area causing a decrease in visual acuity. Large nasal pterygium may cause diplopia due to the limitation of abduction from the traction of the conjunctiva. Moreover, it may disturb the corneal tear film leading to dryness and punctate keratitis with symptoms of irritation. Other symptoms include photophobia, tearing, foreign body sensation and corneal astigmatism.

Treatment modalities may be medical or surgical. From earliest times medical treatment has been tried and found unsatisfactory such as application of solid choline chloride, the topical use of steroids and subconjunctival injection of hyaluronidase. The report of surgical treatment of pterygium dates to or before 1000 B.C. Initially, excision of the pterygium and placing the graft over the bare sclera after pterygium excision but it was associated with the serious sight-threatening complications. It has been reported to cause superficial punctate keratitis[21], scleral ulceration and calcification, corneoscleral, ciliary body and vitreoretinal toxicity and uveitis and secondary glaucoma[22].

Conjunctival autografting after pterygium excision is associated with a lower recurrence rate of 2% - 9% and relatively few sight-threatening complications. Conjunctival autografting is recognized as a procedure of choice for pterygium surgery. It involves obtaining a graft from superotemporal limbal conjunctiva in case of nasal pterygium and placing the graft over the bare sclera after pterygium excision. Stem cells from the limbal conjunctiva act as a barrier to the conjunctival cells migrating on to the corneal surface[23]. The current method of attaching conjunctival autograft is by means of suturing. The use of suture material is associated with several disadvantages, including prolonged operating time, postoperative discomfort and potential for suture-related complications such as buttonhole, suture abscesses, granuloma formation, tissue necrosis, and giant papillary conjunctivitis. To prevent these complications ophthalmic surgeons are switching to sutureless surgery. A cross-sectional study also describes a successful outcome with a sutureless and glue-free conjunctival autograft. It also suggested that the opposition of the lids to the bulbar conjunctiva provides a natural biological dressing and confers a unique wound healing environment. The lids provide compression, a smooth frictional surface, avascular bed with immune capability in close proximity to the injury site[24]. Therefore this study was undertaken to compare the conjunctival autograft with and without sutures in terms of operating time, postoperative symptoms and graft success.

Aims and objectives

The outcome measures were studied in both groups in terms of Operative time, Postoperative symptoms and Overall graft success.

Material and Methods

Setting: It was a Prospective, randomized, comparative study conducted on 50 patients over a period of one year from January 2017 to January 2018 in upgraded Department of Ophthalmology at GMC Jammu. Group I consisted of 25 eyes who underwent attachment of conjunctival autograft by 10-0 nylon suture and in Group II (25 eyes) attachment was done without suture. In Group I, the graft was sutured with interrupted 10-0 sutures and in Group II, no sutures applied.

Duration and Type of Study: This was a Prospective, Comparative Study conducted on 50 patients over a period of one year from January 2017 to January 2018.

Permission was taken from the institutional ethical committee. Written informed consent was taken from all patients after explaining the examination, benefits, and risk of, surgical procedure.

Fifty patients were prospectively enrolled for pterygium surgery. Patients were randomly divided into two groups. Group I consisted of 25 eyes who underwent attachment...
of conjunctival autograft by 10-0 nylon suture and Group II (25 eyes) attachment done without suture. In Group I, the graft was sutured with interrupted 10-0 sutures and in Group II, no sutures applied

**Inclusion Criteria**- Patients in the age group of 20 to 70 years of either sex.

**Exclusion Criteria**- Recurrent pterygium, History of OcularPathology other than refractionresulting in decreased visual Acuity, Ocular surface disorders, History of ocular surgery or trauma in past and Glaucoma suspect.

**Preoperative Assessment**- Detail medical and ophthalmological history was taken, Uncorrected and Best-corrected visual acuity was recorded with Snellen's chart, Slit-lamp examination was done, Fundoscopy, NCT(Non-CONTACT tonometry), Keratometry, Haemoglobin, Bleeding Time, Clotting Time, Prothrombin Time, PTI and Fasting Blood Sugar were done. All Patients were explained regarding the procedure and informed consent was taken.

**Operative Procedure**- Topical antibiotics four times a day were given one day prior to surgery. The operative procedure was performed under local anesthesia. Topical xylocaine 4% drops (at the interval of five mins) four times before surgery was instilled. Peribulbar anesthesia with 5ml of 2% lignocaine and 0.5 % Bupivacaine was given. After preparing and draping the selected eye, an eye speculum was inserted and eyelids were retracted. 0.5ml of 2% xylocaine was injected just underneath the body of pterygium with 26 gauge needle. Pterygium excision was done by the same surgeon in both groups with a similar technique. After holding the pterygium with fixation forceps a small incision was given in conjunctiva just medial to head of pterygium to separate conjunctiva from the body of the pterygium with westcott scissors. The pterygium was removed from the cornea by avulsion. Hemostasis was achieved spontaneously. The defect size was measured with a caliper. The size of the graft was measured with the caliper on superotemporal conjunctiva. 2% lignocaine was injected into conjunctiva to balloon the area and separate it from tenon's capsule. The graft was excised starting from fornical end reaching up to limbal area using forceps and Westcott scissors. The graft was placed on the bare sclera in such away so as to maintain the original orientation of the limbal border towards the cornea. The graft was smoothened at its bed taking care to avoid folding of the edges. Limbal side of the graft was affixed to the limbal area and sides of the graft were attached to surrounding conjunctivawith interrupted 10-0 sutures in Group-I (Conjunctival autograft with sutures). Antibiotic ointment was applied and pad and bandagedid.

In Group -II (conjunctival autograft without sutures) The Limbal side of the graft was attached to the limbal side and sides of the graft to surrounding conjunctiva. Wait for 5-6 min for the graft to adhere to the bare sclera as blood act as adhesive. No ointment is to be applied. The eye was patched and bandage applied.

**Postoperative Care**- After removal of the pad and bandage topical antibiotic and steroid combination eye drops were given four times a day for 2 weeks then tapered over 4 weeks. Artificial tear drops were given four times a day for 6 weeks. Sutures in Group-I were removed after 2 weeks.

Follow up was done at
a) 1st postoperative day
b) 1st postoperative week
c) 1st postoperative month
d) 6th postoperative month

Data Analysis was done using the Chi-square test. A p-value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**Results**

The present study was conducted on fifty patients attending the OPD of Govt. Medical College Jammu over a period of one year. The study was carried out to compare and evaluate the effectiveness of conjunctival autograft transplantation with and without sutures. Patients were divided into two groups of 25 patients each, Group-I consisted of 25 patients undergoing conjunctival autografting with sutures and in Group -II, conjunctival autograft was attached without sutures.

Of the 25 patients in Group I, 13 patients (52%) were males and 12 (48%) were females. These patients were arranged in groups varying from 20 to 70 years. Their mean age was 41.37±11.75 years and ranged from 25-62 years. Max's number of patients 17 (68%) ranged from 20-40 years. Of the 25 patients, Group II, 15 (60%) were males and 10 were females. The age of patients in Group -II ranged from 23-70 years and was arranged in groups ranging 20-70 years.

The mean age of this population was 41.11±12.33 years. Most of the patients 15 (60%) were in the age group of 20-40 years (Table-1). Of the 25 patients in Group -I, pterygium was present in the right eye in 9 (36%) patients and in the left eye in 16 (64%) patients. Of the 25 patients in Group -II, the pterygium was present in the right eye in 11 (44%) patients and in the left eye in 14 (56%) patients (Table-1).
Table-1: Pre-operative characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Group-I</th>
<th>Group-II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Eyes</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in years (Mean)</td>
<td>41.37±11.75</td>
<td>41.11±12.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye involved</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistically, no significant difference was found in preoperative characteristics of pterygium between two groups.

Table-2 -Operating time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group-I</th>
<th>Group-II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean operating time (min)</td>
<td>37.26</td>
<td>23.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001 highly significant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Group -I mean operating time was 37.76 min and in Group -II it was 23.20 min. p-value was <0.0001 value which is highly significant. Mean operating time in GROUP-II was less as compare to GROUP-I and was found to be statistically significant(Table-2).

Table-3: Overall success rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Success Rate</th>
<th>Group-I</th>
<th>Group-II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The overall success rate in both groups was similar(Table-3).

Postoperative symptoms - Subjective symptoms of pain, foreign body sensation, watering, and discomfort were fewer and disappeared rapidly in Group -II as compared to Group -I. The intensity of these symptoms was significantly lower in Group -II as compared to Group -I on all follow up days. All patientstreated were asymptomatic by 2 weeks in Group -II and by 4 weeks in Group -I.

Table-4: Grading of pain/ discomfort and watering.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRADE</th>
<th>Pain/Discomfort</th>
<th>Watering</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>No Pain</td>
<td>No watering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Min Discomfort</td>
<td>Minimal watering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Moderate with some discomfort</td>
<td>Moderate watering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Severe /interfering with sleep</td>
<td>Severe watering</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the Group -I, 12 patients had Grade-II discomfort, 10 patients complained of Grade-III discomfort and 3 patients had Grade-IV discomfort. In Group -II 20 patients had Grade-II discomfort and 5 patients had Grade-III discomfort. (Table 4 and 5).

Table-5: Grade based breakup of patients included in the study.

| Operating procedure | No of eyes | Watering and postoperative discomfort | | |
|---------------------|------------|----------------------------------------|---|---|---|
|                     | Grade-I    | Grade-II  | Grade-III | Grade-IV |
| Group-I             | 25         | 0         | 12(48%)  | 10(40%)  | 3(12%)  | 25(100%) |
| Group-II            | 25         | 0         | 20(80%)  | 5(20%)   | 0       | 25(100%) |

Chi-square is 6.67 P=.03 significant
Table-6: Postoperative complication.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group-I</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Group-II</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctival hyperemia</td>
<td>20/25</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>20/25</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal defects</td>
<td>5/25</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>4/25</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyogenic granuloma</td>
<td>0/25</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0/25</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symblepharon</td>
<td>0/25</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0/25</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctival granuloma</td>
<td>1/25</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0/25</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrence</td>
<td>1/25</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0/25</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased IOP</td>
<td>0/25</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0/25</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0/25</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0/25</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-square test was non-significant

In Group I Twenty patients had conjunctival hyperemia, five patients had corneal defects one patient had conjunctival granuloma. The recurrence of pterygium was observed in one patient at the end of the 2nd postoperative month (Table-6).

In Group II, Twenty patients had conjunctival hyperemia and four patients had corneal defects. No other complication was observed in this group. In both the group's conjunctival hyperemia and corneal defects resolved after the 1st postoperative week with the use of topical lubricating and antibiotics drops. The conjunctival granuloma that was observed in one patient in Group I at the end of the 1st postoperative week was treated by surgical excision. (Table-6)

So, in the present study, it was concluded that Pre-operative features between the two groups were statistically not significant. Mean operating time was less in the conjunctival autograft group without sutures (p-value = 0.0001, highly significant). The severity of Post-operative symptoms was more in the suture group. Graft successfully attached in both groups.

Discussion

The high rate of recurrence after pterygium excision has been the main obstacle in its successful treatment. However, in its endeavor to minimize recurrence different techniques with different adjuncts were tried by various authors from time to time. Although pterygium excision is considered to be a minor procedure, it is still challenging for ophthalmic surgeons worldwide. Pterygium surgery will be successful only when it prevents its recurrence. Although many surgical modalities have been proposed to treat pterygium, none of them is yet an ideal one to accomplish the desired end result. Adjunctive treatments in the form of beta-radiations, argon laser photocogulation, and thiotepa were introduced to reduce the rate of recurrence but none of them were without complications. The use of Mitomycin drops have also been used to prevent the recurrence of pterygium after its excision but mitomycin use is also associated with complication such as corneal scleral melting, cataract, uveitis, symblepharon, secondary glaucoma.

The bare sclera technique used for pterygium excision was also associated with a high recurrence rate. Kenyon et al. [12] popularized the conjunctival autograft transplantation technique. It reestablishes the barrier function of the limbus and hence significantly lowers the recurrence rate. The conjunctival autograft is either attached with sutures, autologous blood or fibrin glue. Attaching conjunctival autograft using autologous blood also known as suture and glue-free graft technique. Although conjunctival autografting is an effective method for the prevention of recurrence after pterygium surgery, suturing needs surgical experience and technical skills. Sutures also lead to patient discomfort, symblepharon or graft rupture. Suzuki et al. reported that silk and nylon sutures may cause conjunctival inflammation and Langerhans cell migration into the cornea [25]. Mitra et al.'s study conducted a study in which 19 patients underwent graft fixation without sutures [26]. The mean surgical time was 11 min, no graft was lost and none of the pterygium recurred during 6 months follow up. The present study was carried out to compare pterygium excision with the attachment of conjunctival graft with sutures versus without sutures. The results were compared in terms of operative time, postoperative symptoms and overall graft success.

In the present study in Group I, mean operating time was 37.76 min and in Group II it was 23.20 min. p-value was 0.0001 value which is highly significant. Karazeli et al. reported an operating time of 32.5 mins in the case of a suture group and 15.7 mins in the case of the fibrin glue group [27]. Mitra et al. reported a mean surgical time of 11 mins in case of conjunctival autograft without sutures [26]. In the present study, postoperative symptoms were less severe with conjunctival autograft without sutures than...
with sutures similar to Uy et al study [28]. Malik K P et al and Wit D et al, also reported that postoperative symptoms were more with suture group than with sutureless group [23,24].

In the present study, the graft was attached in both groups with three out of twenty-five in the suture group and two out of twenty-five in the suture-free group had graft edema which resolved over 1st post-operative week. Corneal defects were observed in five out of twenty-five in the suture group and four out of twenty-five in the suture-free group. One patient had conjunctival granuloma and one patient had a recurrence in the suture group. Chi-square was non-significant.

In a study conducted by Elvan S [29], postoperative conjunctival edema occurred in eight eyes, recurrence in 3 eyes and none had granuloma formation.

The follow-up period was six months and recurrence occurred at four months. A study by Malik K P et al [23] reported a recurrence rate of 2.5% and no granuloma formation at 6 months follow up in case of sutureless conjunctival autografting.

Hall R C et al [30] reported no recurrence in conjunctival autografting with glue and two cases of recurrences in the suture group. Wit D et al [24] reported no recurrence in 15 eyes in both conjunctival autografting with and without sutures at follow up period of nine months. In Sharma et al’s [31] study out of 150 patients who underwent graft fixation with autologous blood recurrence during follow up period was seen in 4 patients.

In the present study, no recurrence was noted in the suture-free group as compared to one case in the suture group. Operating time for the suture group was more in the present study similar to Harvey et al, Karazeli et al, Bahar et al studies [32,27,33].

In the present study, postoperative symptoms were less severe with conjunctival autograft without sutures than with sutures similar to UY et al and Bahar et al study [28,33]. The graft was successfully attached in both groups in the present study. Similarly, grafts were successfully attached in both groups and intact after 2 months in UY et al study [28].

**Conclusion**

The present study concluded that both are effective methods of conjunctival autograft but autograft without sutures associated with less operating time, less learning curve and less post-operative discomfort in terms of severity and duration.

**What does the study add to the existing knowledge?**

This study was conducted to compare methods of conjunctival autografting with and without sutures. There are other methods of attaching conjunctival autograft without sutures like fibrin glue. But fibrin glue is costly and the risk of infection transmission is there.

The surgical treatment of pterygium with conjunctival autograft without sutures, i.e., sutureless and glueless, is a very effective and easy technique with minimum surgical time, better postoperative comfort to the patient and a very low recurrence rate. It is also cost-effective as compared to sutures and other sutureless techniques like fibrin glue. In recent times, hope it becomes the gold standard treatment of pterygium excision with conjunctival autograft. As still conventional methods of pterygium excision are practiced widely.
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